1 2	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney District of Nevada	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PATRICK A. ROSE Assistant United States Attorney Nevada Bar No. 5109 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702-388-6336 Facsimile: 702-388-6787 Email: patrick.rose@usdoj.gov MOLLY R. SILFEN Special Assistant United States Attorney United States Patent and Trademark Office Office of the Solicitor Mail Stop 8, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Phone: (571) 272-9035 Fax: (571) 273-0373 molly.silfen@uspto.gov	
12 13	Attorneys for Federal Defendants UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
1516	GILBERT P. HYATT,) Plaintiff,)	Case No.: 2:14-cv-00311-LDG-GWF
1718192021	v.) UNITED STATES PATENT AND) TRADEMARK OFFICE and MICHELLE K.) LEE, Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property, and Deputy Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office,)	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, STRIKE
22 23	Defendants. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 6-1, Defendants Michelle K. Lee and the United States Patent and Trademark Office (collectively "USPTO") respectfully move for a two-week extension of time, to June 23, 2014, to file a reply in support of their Motion to	
242526		

Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Strike. A response is currently due June 9, 2014. Mr. Hyatt's counsel have advised that they do not oppose this request for additional time.

In support of this motion, the USPTO relies on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

Dated: June 9, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney

/s/ Molly R. Silfen
MOLLY R. SILFEN
Special Assistant United States Attorney

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 6-1 allow a party to request additional time to perform an act. Here, the USPTO's request for additional time to file a reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Strike is warranted because of the schedule of counsel for the USPTO. Specifically, Mr. Hyatt's response was filed on Friday, May 30, 2014. The undersigned defense counsel had an oral argument at the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on Tuesday, June 3, 2014, and has a brief due in a different appeal at the Federal Circuit on Thursday, June 12, 2014. The USPTO also has a reply brief due in this Court, in case no. 2:14-cv-00011-JCM-NJK, on the same day as this brief, June 9, 2014. The USPTO is requesting a two-week extension until June 23, 2014, in that case as well.

Mr. Hyatt's counsel have advised that they do not oppose this request for additional time.

For these reasons, the USPTO respectfully requests that the Court extend the deadline to file a reply in support of the USPTO's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Strike to June 23, 2014. This request is made in good faith and not for purposes of undue delay.

Dated: June 9, 2014 Respectfully submitted, DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney /s/ Molly R. Silfen MOLLY R. SILFEN Special Assistant United States Attorney IT IS SØ7ORDERED. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LLOYD D. GEORGE June 2014 **Dated:**